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Abstract
As the economy continues to rebound from the recent global recession, M&A activity shows signs of continued growth. Effective integrations of acquired companies, therefore, is a topic that is top of mind for many corporations. During any acquisition, it is important to manage the organizational and other human resource issues, as these are key drivers of acquisition success. This report focuses mainly on key talent retention post-acquisition, describes what startup talent values most, and introduces several practices HR professionals can implement pre- and post-acquisition to enable and engage this talent.
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EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

ORIGINAL QUESTIONS
How do established companies acquiring startups retain the innovative/disruptive/big thinkers and leverage this thinking? What environment and opportunities encourage former startup employees to stay with an acquiring company?

INTRODUCTION
As the economy continues to rebound from the recent global recession, M&A activity shows signs of continued growth. Effective integrations of acquired companies, therefore, is a topic that is top of mind for many corporations. During any acquisition, it is important to manage the organizational and other human resource issues, as these are key drivers of acquisition success. This report focuses mainly on key talent retention post-acquisition, describes what startup talent values most, and introduces several practices HR professionals can implement pre- and post-acquisition to enable and engage this talent.

WHAT STARTUP TALENT VALUES
Employees are attracted to startups for a variety of reasons, many of which are different from reasons that employees join larger, more established companies. Three key considerations include:

- **Potential Stock Appreciation.** While large companies also offer equity incentives, venture-backed startups can offer equity to early employees ranging from 10-20% of the total shares of the business, which can appreciate quickly when the company experiences rapid growth.

- **Responsibility and Opportunity.** Employees at startups often work in small teams with large individual responsibility. These teams offer fast ramp-up times, rapidly scaling responsibilities, and opportunities to diversify any one individual role.

- **Recognition.** Startup companies offer high recognition, which is especially valued by millennials, the generation in which 62% want to start their own business and 72% believe startups “are essential for new innovation and jobs”. The small, intimate environment of startups offers recognition of both successes and failures, which only shows that startup employees embrace risk and the possibility of failure in light of the possible success attributed to their own hard work.

HR PRACTICES/COMPONENTS
High-retention companies, defined as those that succeed at retaining 60% or more of desired talent over a full retention period, behave differently from low-retention companies in several critical ways. Notably, these companies do not seek to mimic the unique aspects of startups described above and hope for retention. Rather, companies identify eligible retention candidates as early as possible, develop a global retention philosophy and strategy that allows for local and regional variations, management discretion, cash bonuses, and formal retention agreements. Among all HR practices, effective culture integration is crucial for ultimate acquisition success, but can be time-consuming to implement successfully. Other key HR practices include the following:

- **Find the hidden gems:** In addition to identifying key talent, HR and line managers should work together to identify average performers whose skills or social networks may be critical—both in “keeping the lights on” during the change effort itself as well as in delivering against its longer-term business objectives. The key is to view each employee through two lenses: first, the impact his or her departure would have on the business given the focus of the change effort and his or her role in it; and second, the probability that the employee in question might leave.
**Culture:** A formal culture integration plan is critical to acquisition success. HR should focus on culture during due diligence, set a cultural integration agenda, diagnose key differences, define the future culture, and develop a culture-change plan—then sustain and measure progress. When developing the new culture, HR should define it not just with themes or adjectives but with specific behaviors, and with the measures and incentives that will be used to encourage those behaviors.viii

**Family Benefits:** For companies with strong familial cultures and environments, it is important to customize benefits for incoming employees and understand the needs of incoming talent. A survey shows that startups often offer gym memberships, meals and subsidized transportation rather than generous paid parental leave policies. However, offering substantial and documented parent benefits can help corral and keep talent, and can be a key differentiator for the acquirer. As potential employees consider working in a big company, they will think more broadly about whether working for that company is in the best interest of their whole lives and not just their work lives.ix

**Personalization:** Leaders in large firms need to embrace job personalization as a marketing and management technique that will entice the best talent around.x. Employees want a working environment that accommodates their needs, strengths and interests. Specific personalization initiatives could include:
- **Personalized office space:** One study showed that workers who could personalize their office space with plants or pictures were 32 percent more productive.
- **Location Independence:** Embrace a distributed team, and, if possible, let employees work virtually from a location of their choice.
- **Flexible Hours:** Value employees’ deliverables rather than their hours on the job, and allow employees to create their own schedules.

**BEST PRACTICES**
Cisco Systems and General Electric are two large multinational companies well-known for their successes in integrating acquired companies, many of which are startups. Their successful practices include the following:

- **Cisco** has a process-driven integration approach that encompasses formalized and centralized integration management. Their process consists of creating cross-functional teams for each acquisition that plan, manage and monitor integration activities across Cisco, and they apply standard principles, metrics, tools, and processes that are repeatable in new integration efforts, yet are adaptable to the unique issues and parameters of each deal. This approach has allowed Cisco to successfully integrate more than 120 companies since 1993, many of which are startups, with a very high level of retention.xi

- **General Electric** addresses culture issues early on by executing a systemic process of cross-cultural analysis. This process encompasses (1) focus groups and interviews with customers and employees to understand the target company’s culture as well as GE’s own culture, recognizing that culture is not static and changes over time, (2) holding a three-day workout to understand areas of cultural convergence and discrepancy, and (3) ultimately agree on a common vision and shared future for the acquired company, through the creation of a “first 100-day plan”. This approach allowed GE’s former Capital business to complete more than 100 acquisitions over a five-year period, resulting in a 30% increase of its workforce and doubling its net income.xii

**CONCLUSION**
Established companies seeking to retain and leverage top startup talent should recognize that employees join startups for different reasons than they join established companies. Rather than seeking to mimic the startup environment, HR can increase retention and enable success by identifying eligible retention candidates as early as possible, developing a global retention philosophy and strategy that allows for local and regional variations, and carefully plan for cultural integration in a manner that identifies and reconciles differences.
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Appendix I – Fig. 1\textsuperscript{iii}

During a reorganization effort, one company found that 44 employees critical to the company's success were likely to leave.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Risk level</th>
<th>Low risk</th>
<th>Medium risk</th>
<th>High risk</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>High</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Important resource whose specific skills/knowledge require careful attention</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Important resource, but person's competences are shared and not at risk</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General competences in own area</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low specific competences; easy to find in the market</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Probability of person leaving organization, %

Based on market demand for employee’s skills, latest salary trends, existing competitive offers, family situation, and known preferences and concerns.

Appendix II – Fig. 2\textsuperscript{iv}

1. They are more likely than others to identify eligible employees for retention based on their ability to affect the success of the transaction (73% for high-retention firms versus 33% for low-retention firms).
2. They are more likely to tap into the target company’s senior leadership for information about which employees to keep (66% versus 27%).
3. They are significantly more likely than low-retention companies to include management discretion (that is, the opinion of the target’s leadership) in the retention agreement (selection process (32% versus 8%).
4. They focus on cash bonuses rather than other forms of retention awards. Cash bonuses (exclusively or with other forms of compensation) are more likely to be included in retention agreements used by high-retention firms (86% for senior leadership, 89% for other employees) compared to low-retention firms (50% and 56%, respectively).
5. They are less likely than low-retention companies to rely on data from the target’s HR systems to identify retention candidates. No description data are used by only 28% of high-retention companies, compared to 58% of low-retention companies, and reporting-level information is used by just 36% of high-retention companies, compared to 67% of low-retention companies.
6. They offer retention target value that is higher than low-retention acquirers. For senior leaders, the median value of the retention plan among high-retention companies is 60% of base salary, versus 35% for low-retention companies. A similar difference exists for retention packages offered to nonexecutives (28% versus 16%).
Appendix III – Fig. 3
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