Can We Reduce Bias in the Recruiting Process and Diversify Pools of Candidates by Using Different Types of Words in Job Descriptions?

Danielle Collier  
*Cornell University*

Charlotte Zhang  
*Cornell University*

Follow this and additional works at: [https://digitalcommons.ilr.cornell.edu/student](https://digitalcommons.ilr.cornell.edu/student)  
*Thank you for downloading an article from DigitalCommons@ILR.*  
*Support this valuable resource today!*

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the ILR Collection at DigitalCommons@ILR. It has been accepted for inclusion in Student Works by an authorized administrator of DigitalCommons@ILR. For more information, please contact catherwood-dig@cornell.edu.
Can We Reduce Bias in the Recruiting Process and Diversify Pools of Candidates by Using Different Types of Words in Job Descriptions?

Abstract
Intuitively, we all know diversity matters in recruiting and leadership development. McKinsey research points out that companies in the top quartile for racial and ethnic diversity are 35% more likely to have financial returns above their respective national industry medians. Strategic diversity recruitment is a way to effectively send talent through the recruitment pipeline, but it is crucial to mitigate bias. A candidate’s first interaction with employers is often through a job post that includes the job description and responsibilities. Without examining potential unconscious biases, job postings can include language that might deter a certain pool of candidates from applying, thus reducing the diversity of candidates. Through our research, we identified the beneficial use of gender fair language and flexible and inclusive wording in job advertisement and postings.
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Can we reduce bias in the recruiting process and broaden/diversify pools of candidates by using different types of words/styles in job descriptions? If so, what words are most inclusive and resonate for different populations?

Intuitively, we all know diversity matters in recruiting and leadership development. McKinsey research points out that companies in the top quartile for racial and ethnic diversity are 35% more likely to have financial returns above their respective national industry medians. Strategic diversity recruitment is a way to effectively send talent through the recruitment pipeline, but it is crucial to mitigate bias. A candidate’s first interaction with employers is often through a job post that includes the job description and responsibilities. Without examining potential unconscious biases, job postings can include language that might deter a certain pool of candidates from applying, thus reducing the diversity of candidates. Through our research, we identified the beneficial use of gender fair language and flexible and inclusive wording in job advertisement and postings.

The Use of Language in Job Descriptions

Gendered Language
Academic literature shows that language use in a job advertisement can unintentionally signal stereotypical gender biases and repel female applicants. Women tend to use a communal and interpersonal style of speech as compared to men, as well as more social and emotional language. If a posting has more “masculine-themed” words women may perceive that they will not fit or belong to the culture. In industries that are male-dominated, such as technology and financial industries, there are many cases of using these male-skewed words and phrases in their job postings, causing female applicants to pass on those opportunities.

Not So Gender-Neutral Language
Another common default of gender-biased wording that might initially seem gender neutral is using phrases such as the best of the best, which may lead females and minorities to think the company is looking for white males; competitive salary which might lead women to assume that there is no room for pay negotiation; or ninja, which is meant to signal for an aggressive candidate who has expertise in one field but is a very male skewed term. Hiring managers need to balance male skewed wording with more gender friendly wording to ensure the job posting is gender neutral.

Qualifications Orientation
Women and minorities are also more likely to think that they must meet every requirement listed on a job description. Because of this inherent feeling, it is easy for recruiters to overlook job descriptions that might be written in a very exclusive manner that deters qualified candidates. Studies show that people usually do not want to waste time and energy applying for a position if they do not possess the required skills and experience outlined in the job qualifications. Instead of stating “MA or Ph.D. required”, companies can put “an advanced degree, preferably a doctorate.” Similarly, “an MA degree with three years’ work experience, or BA degree with five years’ experience” can replace “an MA with three years’ work experience required.”

Examples

Engineer Company Description
- Masculine: We are a dominant engineering firm that boasts many leading clients. We are determined to stand apart from the competition.
- Feminine: We are a community of engineers who have effective relationships with many satisfied clients. We are committed to understanding the engineer sector intimately.
Engineer Qualifications

- Masculine: Strong communication and influencing skills. Ability to perform individually in a competitive environment. Superior ability to satisfy customers and manage company’s association with them.
- Feminine: Proficient oral and written communications skills. Collaborates well in a team environment. Sensitive to clients’ needs, can develop warm client relationships.

Engineer Responsibilities

- Masculine: Direct project groups to manage project progress and ensure accurate task control. Determine compliance with client’s objectives.
- Feminine: Provide general support to project team in a manner complimentary to the company. Help clients with construction activities.

There are now tools on the market that companies can use “spell-check” for gender bias. Several new start-ups, such as Textio, are using predictive analysis tools to code gender biased language and find the most effective words from its database (built from peer-reviewed academic research) to help neutralize job descriptions. Below is a list of wording that we found from multiple research and resources:

List of masculine skewed wordings: Ambition, driven, lead, persist, principle, decision, superior, individual, assertive, strong, active, hierarchical, rigid, Silicon Valley, stock options, strong, takes risk, workforce, autonomous, ping pong/pool table, must, competitive

List of feminine skewed wordings: Thoughtful, creative, adaptable, choose, collaborate, curious, excellent, flexible, multitasking, health, imaginative, intuitive, leans in, plans for the future, resilient, self-aware, socially responsible, trustworthy, up-to-date, wellness program, nurture, teach, dependable, community, serving, understand, loyal, enthusiasm, trust, support, interpersonal, connect, commit

What’s Next? – Diversity Recruiting Strategies and Supporting Systems

While following these rules certainly helps companies receive more applications from diverse talent, a supporting system for diversity recruiting is also critical to the success of attracting and retaining that talent. Changing wording in a job description only serves as an initial stage for diversity hiring. Continuous training and support from the top are also critical and are addressed as next step. Support from top executives and people leaders is essential to carry out effective hiring strategies. Unconscious bias training and training on diversity hiring can help people leaders identify personal biases and creating a more inclusive conversation.

Microsoft’s new hiring pilot program for people with disabilities allows it to recruit from a new talent pool- a talent pool that is rich with skills. Microsoft restructured its recruiting process to include team projects, informal discussion with managers, mock interviews and coaching to fit the needs of candidates with autism and Asperger’s syndrome. The hiring managers also receive training about autism as a culture and within the workplace to help facilitate better interviews and lead to stronger communication throughout the process. Microsoft was able to bring in bright talents from the pool and the pilot program became a form of recruiting as it continuously receiving tremendous internal and external praise from its employees and applicants.

Conclusion

By looking over specific examples, companies can be more aware of using language and restructuring its job posting to attract a more diverse pool of talents in the recruiting process. By using flexible wording, companies will receive a pool of talents with different years of experience and wide range of background. Employers should be flexible with not only wording, but also a growth mindset to look for a candidate who is willing to learn. By looking beyond of what they want and need, successful hiring managers’ focus more candidate’s potential in the long term and promise to provide a learning and challenging environment.
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Appendix I: "Why Women Don't Apply for Jobs Unless They're 100% Qualified"

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reason</th>
<th>MEN</th>
<th>WOMEN</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>I didn't think they would hire me since I didn't meet the qualifications and I didn't want to waste my time and energy.</td>
<td>46.4%</td>
<td>40.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I was being respectful of the time and preferences of the person reviewing applications — they had already made clear who they were looking for.</td>
<td>20.0</td>
<td>13.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I didn't think they would hire me since I didn't meet the qualifications and I didn't want to put myself out there if I was likely to fail.</td>
<td>12.7</td>
<td>21.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I didn't think I could do the job well.</td>
<td>12.4</td>
<td>9.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I was following the guidelines about who should apply.</td>
<td>8.5</td>
<td>15.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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