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Review of the Book *Labor Relations and the Litigation Explosion*

**Abstract**

[Excerpt] *Labor Relations and the Litigation Explosion* is a very readable book that is easily accessible to nonspecialists. (The author has presented more technical treatments of the material elsewhere; see Flanagan 1986a, 1986b.) The early chapters begin with a discussion of federal policy towards labor relations in the United States under the National Labor Relations Act, a documentation of the growth of unfair labor practice charges that occurred over the 1950-1980 period and then a demonstration that this growth can be only partially "explained" by the changing industrial and regional distribution of employment in the United States. Quite interestingly, he presents comparative data from Canada, which has a regulatory system for labor relations similar to the NLRA, and shows that similar growth occurred there. Chapter 4 then critically surveys the extensive prior literature that purports to show how unfair labor practice charges have influenced union growth in the United States; Flanagan's conclusion here, buttressed by some empirical work of his own, is that changes in labor law policy are unlikely to have a broad influence on the degree of union representation in the economy.
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also eased what people both inside and outside the industry see as a gradual decline and a giving away to imports.

In sum this is a good book and should be read by all interested in the Japanese economy, industrial policy, trade and comparative systems. Economists who expect price and income effects and formal models bristling with equations and parameter estimates may be disappointed but those interested in learning more about the institutional side of the Japanese economy during its era of adjustment to slow growth will find much to learn and cull from this valuable and succinct account.

**Carl Mosk**

*Santa Clara University*


When requested to review this book, written by a coauthor of mine, I thought about declining the assignment on the grounds that a review from a totally disinterested observer would be preferable. However, since I had previously read the book and concluded that it presented an important message, I decided instead to use the opportunity to express this view.

In recent years, much attention has been devoted in both academic literature and the popular press to the decline in union membership in the United States and the increased propensity of unions to lose organizing elections. Numerous explanations have been offered for these trends including changes in the industrial, regional, and demographic distributions of employment and the belief that management has become more “anti-union.” The latter claim is often documented by referring to the large increase in the number of unfair labor practice charges filed by unions and employers with the National Labor Relations Board, the regulatory agency that supervises labor management relations in the United States. Such evidence provides the basis for many proposals to reform labor relations law.

Robert Flanagan’s brief monograph is a comprehensive analysis of the “explosion” of unfair labor practice charges in the United States and of the factors responsible for this explosion. While there is a long literature in economics that follows in the tradition of the pioneering works of Gary Becker and George Stigler and treats compliance with various types of legislation (e.g., minimum wage, overtime pay premium, personal income tax) in a benefit/cost framework, Flanagan is the first researcher to use such an approach to explain the behavior of participants in the labor-relations law arena.

In particular, Flanagan stresses the important role played by growing union/nonunion wage differentials throughout the decade of the 1970s in providing an economic incentive both for employers increasingly to violate the National Labor Relations Act and for unions increasingly to challenge actual and perceived violations of it. In contrast to more traditional approaches that stress changing attitudes towards unions and changing interpretation and enforcement of labor law by “anti-union administrations,” Flanagan stresses underlying economic forces. This novel perspective permits him to bring fresh insights to the debate about the desirability of alternative forms of labor law reform.

*Labor Relations and the Litigation Explosion* is a very readable book that is easily accessible to nonspecialists. (The author has presented more technical treatments of the material elsewhere; see Flanagan 1986a, 1986b.) The early chapters begin with a discussion of federal policy towards labor relations in the United States under the National Labor Relations Act, a documentation of the growth of unfair labor practice charges that occurred over the 1950–1980 period and then a demonstration that this growth can be only partially “explained” by the changing industrial and regional distribution of employment in the United States. Quite interestingly, he presents comparative data from Canada, which has a regulatory system for labor relations similar to the NLRA, and shows that similar growth occurred there. Chapter 4 then critically surveys the extensive prior literature that purports to show how unfair labor practice charges have influenced union growth in the United States; Flanagan’s conclusion here, buttressed by some empirical work of his own, is that changes in labor law policy are unlikely to have a broad influence on the degree of union representation in the economy.

The heart of the book, however, is Chapter 5 which presents a model of optimal labor-law
compliance from the perspective of both unions and management and then empirically tests the model using aggregate time-series data on unfair labor practices over the 1950–1980 period. The evidence in this chapter strongly suggests that the economic benefits and costs to unionization, as crudely proxied by the union/nonunion wage differential, more strongly influence the volume of unfair labor practices than the way the NLRA has been administered. Given this evidence, the discussion in Chapter 6 of potential policy reforms is heavily influenced by how these reforms would influence employers’ and unions’ benefit/cost calculations.

To say that I think highly of this book is not to say that it is without shortcomings. I rue the fact that much of Flanagan’s empirical analyses stop in 1980; the reader can only wonder how the changing economic fortunes of unions in the 1980s, have influenced the volume of unfair labor practice charges. I also regret that virtually all of Flanagan’s econometric tests utilize only 30 annual aggregate time series observations; one wonders if panel data at the level of bargaining units or industries could have been used to conduct more precise econometric tests of hypotheses. These shortcomings, however, in no way minimize the major contribution I believe this book has made. Subsequent analyses of labor law reform will be heavily influenced by Flanagan’s economic analysis of labor law compliance.

Ronald G. Ehrenberg
Cornell University
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This book seeks to elucidate the relationship between technological change and labor-management relations by exploring evidence primarily from Great Britain. In particular, it investigates the extent to which innovational laggardness in England can be explained by trade union activity and suggests that a more complex causal network than simple labor obstructionism is at work.

The book begins with a discussion of the official position of the British national trade union federation, the TUC, on technological change. Paul Willman argues that the TUC has always maintained a pro-technological change posture. Since the late 1970s, however, the TUC has increasingly called upon the government to follow full employment macro policies and upon the firms to consult with the workers in order to avoid undesirable employment effects from the introduction of new technology. Willman goes on to recognize, however, that collective bargaining in Great Britain is highly decentralized and that the more likely impediment to technological progress is based at the company, not the national, level of union activity.

The author next presents a wealth of general evidence demonstrating an innovation and productivity problem in Great Britain. In 1981, for instance, manufacturing productivity in the UK was only 40 percent of that in the USA. He then cites several studies of the role of unions in impeding diffusion of particular technologies and concludes that the UK has only been at a union-related disadvantage in one case, numerically-controlled machine tools. Unfortunately, Willman does not discuss the methodology of the studies he cites. He does, however, point out that even if trade unions have not been a direct impediment to technological diffusion, it is possible that through restrictive practices (e.g., featherbedding or rigid work rules) the unions have reduced the return to new investments and, thus, have indirectly discouraged new technology. Willman then refers to a 1976 study of multinational firms that found over one-fifth of companies experienced restrictive practices in the UK which they did not encounter in the USA.

Willman maintains that the UK problems with restrictive practices are as much a function of management ineptitude in handling technological change as a function of union obstinence. He then presents a lengthy and interesting discussion of the theories of Williamson, Hirschman, Freeman and Medoff, and others on the