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Abstract

[Excerpt] With Trade Conditions and Labor Rights, Henry J. Frundt makes a signal contribution to the debate on workers’ rights in a rapidly globalizing economy. Can economic pressure by the United States compel poor countries to enhance workers’ rights and improve institutions to enforce those rights? Does labor rights "conditionally"—more bluntly, the threat of diminished access to the U.S. market for countries and companies that violate workers’ rights—promote labor rights and labor standards? Or does conditionality hurt the very workers it is supposed to help by keeping products out of the U.S. market and slowing economic growth and investment in developing countries?
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International and Comparative Industrial Relations


With Trade Conditions and Labor Rights, Henry J. Frundt makes a signal contribution to the debate on workers' rights in a rapidly globalizing economy. Can economic pressure by the United States compel poor countries to enhance workers' rights and improve institutions to enforce those rights? Does labor rights "conditionality"—more bluntly, the threat of diminished access to the U.S. market for countries and companies that violate workers' rights—promote labor rights and labor standards? Or does conditionality hurt the very workers it is supposed to help by keeping products out of the U.S. market and slowing economic growth and investment in developing countries?

Most of the debate has taken place among economists. But while economists argue from econometric models, macroeconomic data, and neoclassical theory, Henry Frundt examines on-the-ground conditions in developing countries afflicted by poverty, war, near-feudal employment relationships, and barely functioning labor ministries and courts.

Trade Conditions and Labor Rights could not be more timely. Fueled by media exposés of child labor and other abuses linked to brand name fashion lines and retail stores, public concern about labor conditions in Central America and the Caribbean is keen. A growing anti-sweatshop student movement has also emerged to challenge conditions in apparel factories making university-licensed clothing and athletic gear.

Frundt's book is a model of engaged scholarship. As a member of the board of the Guatemala Labor Education Project, he was active in much of the international solidarity work described in the book. But he is able to step back and put this work in perspective, helping the general public and the new student movement see shades of grey in labor rights advocacy.

Part 1 reviews the economic debate over methods of achieving labor rights, the arguments over labor rights conditionality, and the record of labor rights petitions under the United States' Generalized System of Preferences (GSP). Frundt cites five possible ways offered by other analysts to improve labor standards and labor rights: free market forces, collective bargaining, enlightened management, consumer pressure, and government enforcement. His review of the literature and the arguments supporting each of these approaches is itself a valuable asset for scholars in this field. But this discussion serves as background to his main argument that GSP trade conditionality has an important, sometimes paramount role in advancing workers' rights in developing countries.

Under the GSP labor rights clause, tariff-free access to the U.S. market for developing countries is conditioned on those countries' affording five "internationally recognized worker rights": freedom of association, the right to organize and bargain collectively, prohibition of forced labor, limitations on child labor, and "acceptable conditions" for minimum wages, hours of work, and job health and safety.

Frundt provides a comprehensive review of all GSP labor rights petitions filed on Latin American countries. He explains the Caribbean and Central American countries' export-led development strategies and their new emphasis on non-traditional exports, mainly in apparel and other light manufacturing industries. Working conditions in export factories, often characterized as sweatshops, along with continuing abuses in plantations, mines, and other traditional export sectors, have prompted several GSP labor rights petitions by U.S. trade unions and human rights groups.

Frundt shows that the pressure wrought by GSP petitions has led to revised labor codes, more labor inspectors, and new labor courts in many countries. But he is interested in going below the surface of documented reforms to plumb the reality of labor rights and working conditions in the region.

Part 2 is the richest vein of the book both for international labor rights activists and for scholars treating labor standards and workers' rights in the global economy. Frundt went to the Dominican Republic, El Salvador, Guatemala, Honduras, and other Central American countries to see how labor rights conditionality works in practice. He talked with workers, employers, union leaders, political activists, government
BOOK REVIEWS

A Short History of American Industrial Policies.

The term “industrial policy” did not come into vogue until the 1970s but, as William R. Nester correctly emphasizes, government efforts to promote and direct economic activity in the United States have a much longer history. He uses the terms “Jeffersonian” and “Hamiltonian” to summarize the venerable conflict between, respectively, those who would leave economic decision-making to the marketplace and those who would rely on government to add a longer-term perspective and a social conscience. Nester leaves little doubt that he sides with the Hamiltonians.

Still, if the Jeffersonian approach implies short-sightedness, turmoil, and a multitude of losers, the Hamiltonian approach in practice has not worked well either. In several long chapters Nester recounts the obstacles to rational industrial policy, obstacles inherent in the American system of governance as well as in the limited vision of elected officials. Environmental policy provides perhaps the best example of ineffectual Hamiltonianism. Yet Nester argues that even a faulty Hamiltonian approach is preferable to a Jeffersonian one. Reaganomics, the best recent example of Jeffersonianism, was a smokescreen behind which greedy insiders enriched themselves at the public expense. Whatever its shortcomings, Clintonomics (Nester’s term) reversed this pattern and ended some of the abuses of the 1980s.

Despite its rather partisan and contemporary interpretive framework, most of Nester’s book is a conventional review of the relationship between government and the economy from the colonial era to the present. It is based on a survey of recent work in economic and political history and is a useful synthesis. Readers, particularly those hostile to the Republican party, will find it a valuable introduction to the role of government in the economy.

Two omissions stand out, however. First, Nester devotes relatively little attention to the 1970s and 1980s, when the inferior performance of the U.S. economy relative to Japan and other Asian countries stimulated a vigorous debate over the responsibility of government for long-term growth. Reagan’s 1980 election victory marked the triumph not only of anti-statist rheto-
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