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Abstract

[Excerpt] In this book I follow workers' union organizing efforts at Santa Rosa Memorial Hospital between 2004 and 2010. In 2004 and 2005, workers and union leaders attempted to organize within the standard framework of the federal National Labor Relations Board (NLRB). Yet in the face of a concerted and sophisticated antiunion campaign led by management and supported by the hospital's religious leadership, workers and union leaders were forced to withdraw from this election in the face of imminent defeat. The campaign then became more open-ended as the union sought what it termed a “fair election agreement,” a set of ground rules and accountability mechanisms that would limit the hospital's antiunion practices. In this effort workers and union leaders organized in the political and religious communities in new ways. Between 2007 and 2008, the union built a community coalition that sought to link the Memorial campaign with the county’s broader healthcare crisis. Although the coalition was unsuccessful in its narrower political goals, it was an important part of the union's broader project. Between 2005 and 2009, the union built a powerful religious and political coalition to highlight the contradictions between the values the hospital asserted and its antiunion practices, a project that did win important concessions from the hospital corporation in the fall of 2008. At the very moment of greatest hope, however, the union was thrown into disarray by an internecine labor dispute. When workers finally voted on unionization in December 2010, they did so with few resources and in opposition to the Service Employees International Union (SEIU), the organization that had helped to initiate the campaign.

... Throughout this book, I argue that for unions to remain relevant in the hospital industry and beyond—winning support among workers, winning campaigns against employers, and winning broad-based political power—they must recognize the cultural dimension of labor struggle, and must be concerned as much with putting forward a vision of the public good as with winning material advantage. The campaign at Santa Rosa Memorial Hospital—and its relationship to broader successes and failures in the labor movement—illustrates the possibilities and perils of this approach to labor struggle.
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I was out of bed by three in the morning and in Fred Ross Jr.'s station wagon a little after four. Fred Ross Jr. is in his sixties, tall and thin with a dimpled chin. His father, Fred Ross Sr., mentored Cesar Chavez in the early days of the United Farm Worker (UFW) movement. Fred Ross Jr. became a labor organizer with the UFW straight out of college and has spent his life working for a better world in a variety of capacities. In 1975 he led the largest UFW action in a decade, a twenty-thousand-person march from San Francisco to Modesto as part of a successful campaign to pass the Agricultural Labor Relations Act. The law, passed by the California Legislature later that year, was the first in the nation to give farm workers collective bargaining rights, such as the right to organize a union and obtain a contract. In the 1980s, Ross directed a coffee boycott that helped to end U.S. support for the brutal military government in El Salvador. In the 1990s he was Congresswoman Nancy Pelosi’s chief of staff in California, before joining with other UFW alumni to lead an immigrant rights campaign. And on this cold and foggy morning when I joined
the United Healthcare Workers West, Service Employees International Union (SEIU-UHW) for the first day of a big strike at California Pacific Medical Center in San Francisco in the early fall of 2005, Ross had been working for SEIU’s hospital division for six years.

Fred Ross Jr. and Eileen Purcell, his colleague at the international union, were charged with developing the union’s Catholic hospital strategy. They were both Catholics themselves, and together they were a dynamic duo, a community organizer’s dream team. In the 1980s, Purcell had co-founded the Sanctuary movement for Central American refugees and the National Sanctuary Defense Fund, working especially with the people of El Salvador as they sought to rebuild their lives in the midst of the country’s civil war. Before Ross recruited her to SEIU, Purcell had been the executive director of the SHARE Foundation, which also supported organizing efforts by the people of El Salvador. In these roles Purcell forged close and lasting relationships with many religious leaders in the United States and abroad.

Ross’s history with the UFW, his leadership of the coffee boycott through Neighbor-2-Neighbor, his extensive political experience, and his law degree mean that he has credibility in almost all aspects of the organizing world. He can rile up a crowd of cafeteria workers as easily as he can build a relationship with a priest or convince a senator to sign a letter. Several workers and organizers have also had the experience of meeting Fred Ross Jr., esquire, when they have been harassed or arrested by the police during an action. Ross often serves as in-street lawyer. Purcell, meanwhile, is able to straddle the worlds of organized labor, international politics, and religion with grace and aplomb—and she is the only organizer I’ve ever met who has expressed her commitment to worker justice in a published psalm.²

As we drove across an empty San Francisco Bay Bridge into the city, Ross regaled me with stories of his days with the UFW. Cesar Chavez had told him to organize farm workers in Oregon, but Ross was hesitant. He had heard that Latinos in Oregon were insular, hostile even to Latinos from California, not to mention a gringo. But over time Ross was able to organize them, proving to himself that anyone can organize anyone else with the right skills. Organizing is a craft, he seemed to be telling me, that transcends an organizer’s identity.

We pulled up to the hospital in San Francisco still well before dawn, and on this morning the only skills I put to use were my legs. A bus filled
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with replacement workers circled the hospital, and I tried to prove my chops by taking off after it. What I could do individually to stop a busload of people I wasn’t sure. Fortunately, at each entrance where the bus stopped, a crowd of organizers and striking workers shouted, “Scabs, go home!” As a stocky redheaded man on staff of the union pounded on the door of the bus and forcibly prevented anyone from stepping off, the scene brought to mind the heyday of organized labor in the 1930s. And when the bus ultimately was forced to leave, I felt a surge of adrenaline, like this was union power at its essence.

Later that week, as the strike got into full swing, I came back to the hospital and—on the recommendation of a union staff person—pretended to be an expectant father concerned for the safety of my pregnant wife. In our fabricated story, my wife was scheduled to deliver at the hospital the following month. I was instructed to complain about the strike and to try to gather intelligence about what effect it was having on the floor. I complained my way to the nurse director of the obstetrics department before she asked me the name of my wife’s obstetrician. I balked, then stammered that we didn’t yet have one. The nurse called my bluff. She ordered me not to move and turned quickly away, while I sprinted down the hospital stairs and past a line of beefy security guards. I kept running away from the picket line until I was safely in my car and headed back to my apartment in Berkeley.

Three years later, almost to the day, I actually became a father. On a brisk October evening my wife Teresa gave birth to our daughter Ella at the Berkeley affiliate of the same hospital chain I had helped strike those years before. After a relatively smooth labor and delivery, and two dreamlike days in a beautiful private room overlooking San Francisco Bay, we were about to go home when Ella spiked a fever of 101. The doctors were worried about a possible infection, and we were told that Ella would have to spend the next three days in the Neonatal Intensive Care Unit (NICU), where she would be hooked up to an IV, given large amounts of antibiotics, and monitored closely around the clock.

The NICU is a glistening shrine to modern medical technology. Premature babies of less than two pounds, who could never have made it fifty years ago, are incubated, respirated, and ushered into healthy and normal lives. But since most of the infants in the NICU are there long term, and many are seriously ill, the place feels sad and somewhat intimidating.
Nurses do their rounds accompanied by the blips and beeps of monitors, and as a whole don't seem to have much time for parents—who themselves are often compelled to return to something like regular life before their babies are healthy enough to return home, and so appear on the unit only sporadically.

But our case was different. If the tests came back okay, Ella had to be in the unit for only seventy-two hours. So Teresa and I spent those hours clinging to Ella as if she were a life preserver in a fierce sea, as we fought off the urge for sleep and the practical (if somewhat cursory) advice of nurses who thought we were being just a little too Berkeley.

Needless to say, Teresa and I nearly lost our minds. It was only thanks to a veteran nurse named Mildred that we stayed on this side of the brink. Mildred had been working at the hospital for almost three decades, and although she had already retired she still took the odd shift. With gentle humor she told us about her own kids, now grown, and warned us that our worries were just beginning—that we should thank our lucky stars Ella was far from being a teenager! She reassured us that Ella would be out of the hospital in no time, and made us feel taken care of amid the flurry and worry of the unit. When Ella's tests came back clear, we wept with gratitude for Mildred's small kindnesses, and she told us that these sorts of experiences were what kept her coming back to the hospital year after year.

These two stories illustrate for me the paradox of work in the hospital. In some ways the hospital is the modern factory, and labor organizing in the hospital is similar to organizing at any other large industrial workplace. In other ways, as Ella's birth brought home to me, the work that goes on in the hospital is loaded with emotion and meaning, giving struggle in the hospital a special character. This book explores in detail the tension between worker power and workers' emotional relationship to their work. For unions to be successful in the healthcare industry and beyond, they must combine an attention to power and control with an appreciation of the cultural context of work—and must link workers' political-economic interests with broader considerations of the public good.
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Social scientists face complicated ethical questions about the degree of anonymity they provide the subjects of their research. For many historians, time has given the events they describe a distance that allows for specificity. Sociologists writing about events closer at hand often occlude the people and places about which they write, creating a different kind of distance between readers and the experiences about which they are reading.

Neither of these solutions felt available to me. The events described in this book are still “raw” to many of the people who experienced them, as one respondent put it. Were I to wait for time to scab over the wounds, I might be waiting a very long time indeed. Yet the specifics of the campaign felt important to the lessons I was able to draw from it. Moreover, I wanted to recognize the successes of workers at Santa Rosa Memorial Hospital and those who worked alongside them.

In order to balance my commitment to specificity with my ethical obligations as a researcher, I have compromised. The people in the text identified by their full names are either interviewees who agreed to be
identified, or people who were publicly identified in media accounts of
the Santa Rosa Memorial Hospital campaign. Those identified by first
names only are participants who appear regularly in the story but whose
names I have changed in order to protect their anonymity. Those par­
ticipants in the study who appear only sporadically I have identified by
position alone.
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WITH GOD ON OUR SIDE
INTRODUCTION

Work's Meaning and Labor's Power

Santa Rosa Memorial Hospital (SRMH) is nestled in a residential neighborhood a few blocks away from downtown Santa Rosa, an exurban community about an hour north of San Francisco. Even with the prominent blue “H” hospital signs leading the way, the hospital can be difficult to find for an out-of-towner. A statue of St. Joseph, the earthly father of Jesus, welcomes visitors at the hospital’s main entrance, and an old convent, long ago converted into administrative offices, sits adjacent to the facility, a buffer between the hospital and the surrounding community.

Since its founding in 1950, the hospital has been owned by the Sisters of St. Joseph of Orange, a group of nuns who preside over thirteen hospitals in California, New Mexico, and Texas that together make up the not-for-profit St. Joseph Health System (SJHS). Although these Sisters were once actively involved in nursing and administration at Memorial Hospital, their numbers have become too small and too elderly to maintain an active presence there. Yet they still actively govern the health system as a whole...
and still work to infuse each of their facilities with the values they see as central to hospital care.¹

The Sisters of St. Joseph of Orange are widely regarded as one of the most progressive orders of nuns in the state, if not the country. Not only were they among the first sisters in the United States to abandon the habit but they actively supported Cesar Chavez and the United Farm Workers throughout the 1960s and 1970s. Several spent time in a Fresno jail with grape strikers in the summer of 1973. Much to the dismay of these same Sisters, workers at Santa Rosa Memorial Hospital spent more than six years trying to organize a union.

In this book I follow workers’ union organizing efforts at Santa Rosa Memorial Hospital between 2004 and 2010. In 2004 and 2005, workers and union leaders attempted to organize within the standard framework of the federal National Labor Relations Board (NLRB). Yet in the face of a concerted and sophisticated antiunion campaign led by management and supported by the hospital’s religious leadership, workers and union leaders were forced to withdraw from this election in the face of imminent defeat. The campaign then became more open-ended as the union sought what it termed a “fair election agreement,” a set of ground rules and accountability mechanisms that would limit the hospital’s antiunion practices. In this effort workers and union leaders organized in the political and religious communities in new ways. Between 2007 and 2008, the union built a community coalition that sought to link the Memorial campaign with the county’s broader healthcare crisis. Although the coalition was unsuccessful in its narrower political goals, it was an important part of the union’s broader project. Between 2005 and 2009, the union built a powerful religious and political coalition to highlight the contradictions between the values the hospital asserted and its antiunion practices, a project that did win important concessions from the hospital corporation in the fall of 2008. At the very moment of greatest hope, however, the union was thrown into disarray by an internecine labor dispute. When workers finally voted on unionization in December 2010, they did so with few resources and in opposition to the Service Employees International Union (SEIU), the organization that had helped to initiate the campaign.

Throughout this process workers faced intimidation and harassment from their supervisors, from hospital administrators, and ultimately from the members and leadership of an opposition union. As important, workers had to reconcile conflicting values of their vocation with their job, and the workers faced, and continue to face, challenges and pressure from the hospital and the state.
had to reconcile their desire for more power and voice in their workplace with their vocational commitments to their patients. The obstacles these workers faced, and their ultimate success, make the organizing drive at Santa Rosa Memorial Hospital a crucible within which to examine the challenges and possibilities facing labor unions in service industries across the country.

The Hospital, the Union, and the Twenty-First-Century Workplace

Today's healthcare sector is one of the largest industries in the United States, employing 14.3 million people, approximately 35 percent of whom work in hospitals. The hospital has replaced the factory as the main source of employment in many communities. In cities such as Rochester, Minnesota, and Cleveland, Ohio, well-known centers of medical practice and innovation overshadow the manufacturing industries the hospitals were first constructed to serve. Catholic hospitals are a major player in this industry. In 2009 there were fifty-nine Catholic health systems that together provided almost 15 percent of all hospital care in the United States.

Hospital work has also, in some ways, come to resemble work in the large unionized workplaces of the mid-twentieth century. The fiefdoms of midcentury hospital work have given way to integrated hierarchical organizations that have made even doctors feel less like professionals and more like wage earners. Medical specialization and fragmentation have diminished the importance of the physician-patient relationship by introducing new technologies and new delivery systems that divide treatment into its component parts. Unlike manufacturing industries, which have moved production offshore in the face of high labor costs, hospitals cannot as easily transfer operations to places where labor is cheaper, nor can they as easily replace labor power with technology. The workforce is fairly centralized and fairly integrated. And as financial pressures increase in the industry, many workers are being squeezed by being asked to work more hours and take on more responsibilities without commensurate increases in pay.

Finally, far from ushering in a free market of relatively autonomous and competitive buyers and sellers, the corporate transformation of the
U.S. healthcare system has been accompanied by large-scale processes of organizing by the market’s constituent parts. Hospitals have merged in order to negotiate better rates with insurers and physicians, physicians have organized groups in order to negotiate better rates with hospitals and insurance companies, and all three groups have sometimes merged (into health maintenance organizations or preferred provider organizations) in order to negotiate with employers and individual patients. All of these constituencies have used principles of combination to increase their economic leverage with the others.

In these ways the hospital seems a natural site for labor organizing, representing a glimmer of hope in the face of labor’s forty-year decline across the private sector. In other ways, however, the hospital is strikingly different from those workplaces in which the labor movement was born. Since workers in not-for-profit hospitals were first excluded from the protections of the National Labor Relations Act as part of the Taft-Hartley Act of 1947, hospital work has been distinguished legally from other sorts of labor. Not only were hospitals primarily oriented around noneconomic values, it was argued, and so inappropriate targets for unionization, but they were also dealing with matters of life and death, making the threat of work disruption dangerous and immoral. Even after the protections of the NLRA again were extended to hospital workers in 1974, the law has sought to balance the rights of hospital workers against the rights of the public to uninterrupted hospital care.

If hospital work was the anomaly at midcentury, in 2010 it is representative of the interactive care work so prevalent in today’s U.S. economy. The future for American labor seems likely to hinge on its success in industries like health care; industries that orient themselves at least in part toward public goods; industries in which workers’ values and emotional lives are closely entangled with their jobs. Even public sector employees such as teachers and social service workers, recently regarded as the last bastion of U.S. unionism, have found their political and bureaucratic sources of power coming under increasing attack, and must identify new sources of power and legitimacy. The lessons that can be learned from an analysis of hospital organizing have implications far beyond the hospital’s walls.

These lessons have implications outside the labor movement as well. Healthcare workers, teachers, social service workers, and other service-sector employees are often those in closest contact with the patients, students, and clients they serve, and must be closely attuned to the changing student learning environment and the mechanisms that universities and colleges employ to serve their constituencies.

Most broadly, the values and work environment of the hospital industry—specifically, it argues—offers workers opportunity to challenge their colleagues as inappropriate targets of organizing and struggle—work that is not like production on the shop floor and in the factory.

Throughout the page, hospital industry campaigns are described as a struggle that must be concerned as much with winning material support of the hospital—financial and other resources for their labor movement— as with winning the structural changes that come with a successful labor struggle.

Case and Method

I focus on Sunnyside Hospital, a 400-bed acute care hospital in a small city midway along the Catholic hospital corridor. Its form of religious sponsorship has mattered whether...
students, and clients they serve. A revitalized labor movement can and must be closely linked to the protection and revitalization of patient care, student learning, and the public good. Union membership is one of the few mechanisms that allow workers to advocate on behalf of the constituencies they serve without fear of reprisal.

Most broadly, this book explores the relationship between vocational values and worker power in the contemporary hospital and beyond. More specifically, it addresses three questions. First, how do hospital workers understand the noneconomic dimensions of their work, and how do these noneconomic values relate to their participation in labor unions? Second, in a context in which confrontational politics is understood by multiple constituencies as anathema to organizational mission, what should be the character of labor struggle? How can workers successfully challenge their disempowerment when this challenge is itself construed as inappropriate? And finally, how do these two dimensions of labor struggle—workers' noneconomic values and the ideological face of the corporation—complicate existing debates about labor's power both on the shop floor and in the political arena?

Throughout this book, I argue that for unions to remain relevant in the hospital industry and beyond—winning support among workers, winning campaigns against employers, and winning broad-based political power—they must recognize the cultural dimension of labor struggle, and must be concerned as much with putting forward a vision of the public good as with winning material advantage. The campaign at Santa Rosa Memorial Hospital—and its relationship to broader successes and failures in the labor movement—illustrates the possibilities and perils of this approach to labor struggle.

Case and Methods

I focus on Santa Rosa Memorial Hospital because it epitomizes the values-based not-for-profit employer. Although vocational ethics infuse many care contexts, they are perhaps nowhere more prominent than in the Catholic hospital, where these ethics are articulated explicitly in the form of religious values. When I asked the deacon of a local church why it mattered whether or not a hospital was Catholic, he responded: "You ever
been scared? . . . There isn’t any time in the world when religion makes more sense than when you have a problem, especially a health problem, or [are] confronting death.” A lead organizer in the union told me that these religious values are “often deeply felt by the workers.” This is not to say that workers are necessarily religious themselves, but rather that the religious mission of the hospital resonates with their experience of the noneconomic aspects of their work. According to Sioban Nelson, a professor of nursing, workers want “to provide healthcare to all in their facilities with the highest respect and dignity for the patient and their families.” People “feel good about those missions.” Nelson documents how Catholic nuns established modern nursing “as a hybrid religious and professional practice.”11 This legacy lives on in the way that many workers relate to hospital work, perhaps most acutely in those hospitals founded by Catholic nuns.

It was Fred Ross Jr. who brought me up to Santa Rosa for the first time in the spring of 2006. As a first-year graduate student in sociology at UC Berkeley, I was interested in learning more about the theory and practice of labor organizing, and made a deal with myself to keep one foot in the world of practice. Like any good organizer, Ross wasn’t satisfied with just one foot, and he suggested that I become one of the union’s religious organizers. So over the next year and a half I worked about fifteen hours a week for the union, building relationships with local religious leaders, introducing them to hospital workers, and asking them to support the campaign in various ways. No matter that I was a nonpracticing Jew whose religious experience was limited to bar and bat mitzvahs and the occasional High Holy Days.

Throughout my involvement with the campaign I took detailed field notes, oftentimes reluctantly, as my world of practice had come temporarily to overshadow my academic ambitions. When I dove back into graduate work more wholeheartedly, between February 2009 and October 2010, I conducted open-ended interviews with worker leaders, union organizers, religious leaders, and hospital administrators and executives.

This book also makes use of a rich body of primary documents produced by both union and management over the course of the campaign. These include strategic memos written by union staff; public memos from the hospital administration to workers; flyers both for and against the union that were distributed to workers at the hospital; public advertisements taken out by both union and administration in local media outlets; media stories on the struggle; hospital administration to hospital. Religious and cultural union that were useful of all was intended to illustrate.

My comparative case study of the attempt to unionize Santa Rosa Regional Hospital relies on the role that cultural and noneconomic efforts in the heart of the hospital struggle throughout the campaign.

Workers and the Hospital

The scholarship on work as some form of “cultural struggle” has been groundbreaking study of the commodification of labor. Hochschild studi...