Cornell University
ILR School

Cornell University ILR School
DigitalCommons@ILR

Federal Publications

Key Workplace Documents

9-21-1993

Commission on the Future of Worker-Management Relations; Notice of Public Hearing

U.S. Government Printing Office

Follow this and additional works at: http://digitalcommons.ilr.cornell.edu/key_workplace

This Notice is brought to you for free and open access by the Key Workplace Documents at DigitalCommons@ILR. It has been accepted for inclusion in Federal Publications by an authorized administrator of DigitalCommons@ILR. For more information, please contact jdd10@cornell.edu.

Commission on the Future of Worker-Management Relations; Notice of Public Hearing

Comments
Suggested Citation
United States Department of Labor. (1993, September 21). Commission on the Future of Worker-Management Relations; Notice of public hearing. *Federal Register*, p. 49060. Retrieved [insert date], from Cornell University, School of Industrial and Labor Relations site: http://digitalcommons.ilr.cornell.edu/key_workplace/450

This notice is available at DigitalCommons@ILR: http://digitalcommons.ilr.cornell.edu/key_workplace/450

HOT TOPICS "Future worker management"

Property of
MARTIN P. CATHERWOOD LIBRARY
NEW YORK STATE SCHOOL
INDUSTRIAL AND LABOR RELATIONS
Cornell University

decree are: Consolidated Railroad Corporation, Matlack, Inc., National Forge Company, Mercer Forge Company and White Consolidated Industries, Inc. (on its own behalf and on behalf of its separately-named subsidiary, Copes Vulcan, Inc., and its former division, RP&C Valve, Inc.).

For a period of thirty (30) days from the date of this publication, the Department of Justice will receive comments relating to the proposed consent decree. Comments should be addressed to the Assistant Attorney General, Environment and Natural Resources Division, Department of Justice, Washington, DC 20530, and should refer to *United States* v. *Anchor Motor Freight, et al.* (N.D. Ohio) and DOJ Ref. No. 90–11–3–38A.

The proposed consent decree may be examined at the office of the United States Attorney, Northern District of Ohio, 600 Superior Street, Cleveland, Ohio, 44114; the Region 5 office of U.S. EPA, 77 West Jackson Blvd., Chicago, Illinois 60604–3590; and at the Consent Decree Library, 1120 G Street, NW., Washington, DC 20005, (202) 624–0892. Copies of the proposed consent decree may be obtained in person or by mail from the Consent Decree Library. In requesting a copy of a consent decree, please identify which consent decree is sought and enclose a check in the amount of $5.75 for the consent decree (25 cents per page reproduction costs) payable to "Consent Decree Library."

John C. Cruden,

Chief, Environmental Enforcement Section, Environment and Natural Resources Division.

[FR Doc. 93–22970 Filed 9–20–93; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4410–01–M

Lodging of Consent Decree Pursuant to the Clean Water Act

On March 10, 1993, a proposed consent decree in *United States* v. *Fina Oil and Chemical Company*, Civil Action No. 1:93CV–114, was lodged with the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Texas. Notice of lodging was published in the **Federal Register** on March 22, 1993. Pursuant to the proposed consent decree, Fina has agreed to pay a $450,000 civil penalty for these violations, to implement a compliance plan, and to pay stipulated penalties for future violations. By the Order of the Court this additional notice is being published to afford an opportunity for comment on the compliance plan required by the proposed decree.

For a period of thirty (30) days from the date of this publication, the Department of Justice will receive written comments relating to the proposed consent decree and compliance plan from persons who are not parties to the action. Comments should be addressed to the Assistant Attorney General, Environment and Natural Resources Division, U.S. Department of Justice, P.O. Box 7611, Washington, DC 20530, and should refer to *United States* v. *Fina Oil and Chemical Company*, DOJ# 90–5–1–1–2527A.

The proposed consent decree and compliance plan may be examined at the offices of the United States Attorney for the Eastern District of Texas, 700 North Street, Suite 102, Beaumont, Texas 77701 and at the office of the United States Environmental Protection Agency, Region VI, 1445 Ross Avenue, Dallas, Texas 75202 (Attention: Ralph Corley, Assistant Regional Counsel). A copy of the consent decree and compliance plan may also be examined at the Consent Decree Library, 1120 G Street NW., 4th Floor, Washington, DC 20005. A copy of the proposed Consent Decree and compliance plan can be obtained in person or by mail from the Consent Decree Library. In requesting a copy, please refer to the reference case and enclose a check in the amount of $6.75 (25 cents per page reproduction charge) payable to the Consent Decree Library.

John C. Cruden,

Chief, Environmental Enforcement Section, Environment and Natural Resources Division.

[FR Doc. 93–22971 Filed 9–20–93; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4410–01–M

Lodging of Consent Decree Pursuant to the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act

In accordance with Departmental policy, 28 CFR 50.7, notice is hereby given that a proposed consent decree in *United States* v. *Hastings Irrigation Pipe Co.*, Civil Action No. 4:CV93–3315, was lodged on September 10, 1993 with the United States District Court for the District of Nebraska. The Hastings Irrigation Pipe Co. (HIPCO) is a Nebraska corporation that owns a portion of the FAR–MAR–CO Subsite, one of seven subsites at the Hastings Ground Water Contamination Site. The portion of the FAR–MAR–CO Subsite owned by HIPCO is an operable unit where a spill of hazardous waste, 1,1,1-trichloroethane (TCA) occurred. The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) incurred costs in investigating the TCA contamination as well as costs of overseeing a removal action, a risk assessment, and a feasibility study undertaken by HIPCO pursuant to an administrative order on consent.

The Department of Justice will receive, for a period of thirty (30) days from the date of this publication, comments relating to the proposed consent decree. Comments should be addressed to the Assistant Attorney General for the Environment and Natural Resources Division, Department of Justice, Washington, DC 20530, and should refer to *United States* v. *Hastings Irrigation Pipe Co.*; DOJ Ref. #90–11–2–880.

The proposed consent decree may be examined at the office of the United States Attorney, 520 Federal Building 100 Centennial Mall North, Lincoln, Nebraska 68508; the Region VII Office of the Environmental Protection Agency, 726 Minnesota Avenue, Kansas City, Kansas 66101; and at the Consent Decree Library, 1120 G Street, NW., 4th Floor, Washington, DC 20005, (202) 624–0892. A copy of the proposed consent decree may be obtained in person or by mail from the Consent Decree Library, 1120 G Street, NW., 4th Floor, Washington, DC 20005. In requesting a copy please refer to the referenced case and enclose a check in the amount of $5.00 (25 cents per page reproduction costs), payable to the Consent Decree Library.

Myles E. Flint,

Acting Assistant Attorney General, Environment and Natural Resources Division.

[FR Doc. 93–22972 Filed 9–20–93; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4410–01–M

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Office of the Secretary

Commission on the Future of Worker-Management Relations; Hearing

AGENCY: Office of the Secretary, Labor.

ACTION: Notice of public hearing.

SUMMARY: The Commission on the Future of Worker-Management Relations issued a notice in the **Federal Register** of June 30, 1993 (58 FR 35048) Volume 58, Number 124, as reopened in the **Federal Register** notice of July 29, 1993, (58 FR 40677) Volume 58, Number 144, requesting comments on whether the Commission should hold a hearing on issues related to the Railway Labor Act in the railroad or airline industries and their applicability to the mission statement of the Commission.

In view of the comments received, that are in the public record, and in accordance with the Federal Advisory Act (FACA) (Pub. L. 92–463, as amended), the Commission has decided

to hold a hearing on issues arising under the Railway Labor Act as they relate to the Mission Statement of the Commission. Pursuant to section 10(a) of FACA, this is to announce that the Commission will hold the hearing at the time and place shown below:

Time and Place: The hearing will be held on Wednesday, October 20, 1993 from 10 a.m. to 5 p.m. in Conference Room N-3437 A-D in the Department of Labor, 200 Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC.

Agenda: The Commission has formulated the following questions to provide guidance to interested parties as to the questions on which it particularly seeks views from interested parties at the hearing on October 20th or in written statements filed with the Commission.

1. The Railway Labor Act was originally enacted in 1926 to deal with disputes in the railroad industry; airlines were brought under the Act at a later date. Both industries, the economy, and their relative roles have changed substantially over the years. Should either industry, or both, be taken from the Railway Labor Act and placed under a general labor-management statute? With or without special provisions?

2. The Railway Labor Act mandates an arbitration process with respect to grievances through a System Board of Adjustment structure. Should the parties be free to redesign their own grievance procedure processes? In the railroads, should the private parties rather than the government pay for the system, including compensation of neutrals?

3. Should the Railway Labor Act be amended to provide for some form of mandatory arbitration after a mediation process? What form of arbitration, or who should determine among the different forms of arbitration? Or, should the parties in these industries be assured, as a matter of general public policy, of the right to self-help, that is, of the strike or the lock-out?

4. What changes, if any, should be made to the Railway Labor Act to accommodate significant employee ownership of airline equity and participation on company boards of directors?

5. Are the distinctions between "major" and "minor" disputes and the provisions for continuation of the "status quo" appropriate, or not appropriate, to the future?

6. How should any proposed changes in the Railway Labor Act, or the status of either industry under the Act, be developed? What mechanisms are possible to develop a measure of consensus?

The parties are, of course, free to comment on other issues. The Commission, however, does not believe it would be appropriate to spend time on the issues relating to the reform of the Federal Employers' Liability Act (FELA), raised by a number of comments, since a study by the National Research Council, Transportation Research Board, is forthcoming early in the new year.

Organizations or individuals who wish to testify or to present statements should advise by October 1, 1993, Mrs. June M. Robinson, Designated Federal Official, U.S. Department of Labor, room C-2318, 200 Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20210, telephone (202) 219-9148. Those seeking to testify will be scheduled within the available time. The Commission will notify the parties or individuals to be heard of time assignments by October 8, 1993. All others may file statements that will be incorporated in the record of the Commission.

Participation: The Commission will be in session from 10 a.m. to 12 noon when it will recess for lunch and will return at 1 p.m. Seating will be available to the public on a first-come, first-served basis. Handicapped individuals wishing to attend should contact the Commission to obtain appropriate accommodations. Individuals or organizations wishing to submit written statements should send 11 copies to Mrs. June M. Robinson, Designated Federal Official, Commission on the Future of Worker-Management Relations, U.S. Department of Labor, 200 Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20210, telephone (202) 219-9148.

Signed at Washington, DC, this 15th day of September, 1993.

Robert B. Reich,

Secretary of Labor.

[FR Doc. 93-23090 Filed 9-20-93; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-22-M

Employment and Training Administration

Investigations Regarding Certifications of Eligibility To Apply for Worker Adjustment Assistance

Petitions have been filed with the Secretary of Labor under Section 221(a) of the Trade Act of 1974 ("the Act") and are identified in the Appendix to this notice. Upon receipt of these petitions, the Director of the Office of Trade Adjustment Assistance, Employment and Training Administration, has instituted investigations pursuant to Section 221(a) of the Act.

The purpose of each of the investigations is to determine whether the workers are eligible to apply for adjustment assistance under Title II, Chapter 2, of the Act. The investigations will further relate, as appropriate, to the determination of the date on which total or partial separations began or threatened to begin and the subdivision of the firm involved.

The petitioners or any other persons showing a substantial interest in the subject matter of the investigations may request a public hearing, provided such request is filed in writing with the Director, Office of Trade Adjustment Assistance, at the address show below, not later than October 1, 1993.

Interested persons are invited to submit written comments regarding the subject matter of the investigations to the Director, Office of Trade Adjustment Assistance, at the address shown below, not later than October 1, 1993.

The petitions filed in this case are available for inspection at the Office of the Director, Office of Trade Adjustment Assistance, Employment and Training Administration, U.S. Department of Labor, 200 Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20210.

Signed at Washington, DC, this 7th day of September, 1993.

Marvin M. Fooks,

Director, Office of Trade Adjustment Assistance.

APPENDIX

Petitioner (union/workers/firm)	Location	Date received	Date of petition	Petition No.	Articles produced
Aluminum Co of America (USWA)	Alcoa, TN	09/07/93	07/30/93	29,002	Aluminum.