



Cornell University
ILR School

Cornell University ILR School
DigitalCommons@ILR

International Publications

Key Workplace Documents

12-2008

Picking Investments in Knowledge Management

Olivier Serrat
Asian Development Bank

Follow this and additional works at: <http://digitalcommons.ilr.cornell.edu/intl>

Thank you for downloading an article from DigitalCommons@ILR.

Support this valuable resource today!

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the Key Workplace Documents at DigitalCommons@ILR. It has been accepted for inclusion in International Publications by an authorized administrator of DigitalCommons@ILR. For more information, please contact hlmdigital@cornell.edu.

Picking Investments in Knowledge Management

Abstract

{Excerpt} What can be measured is not necessarily important and what is important cannot always be measured. When prioritizing investments in knowledge management, common traps lie waiting. They are delaying rewards for quick wins, using too many metrics, implementing metrics that are hard to control, and focusing on metrics that tear people away from business goals.

How can investments in knowledge management be picked? This is no easy matter. What can be measured is not necessarily important and what is important cannot always be measured. Not surprisingly, despite the wide implementation of knowledge management initiatives, a systematic and comprehensive assessment tool to prioritize investments in knowledge management in terms of return on investment is not available. This owes to the difficulty of demonstrating direct linkages between investments in knowledge management and organizational performance, most of which can only be inferred, and the fact that the miscellany of possible knowledge management initiatives calls for both quantitative and qualitative approaches. This is indeed the rationale behind the Balanced Scorecard introduced by Robert Kaplan and David Norton in 1992, whose qualities make it quite useful as a knowledge management metric.

Keywords

Asian Development Bank, ADB, poverty, economic growth, sustainability, development

Comments

Suggested Citation

Serrat, O. (2010). *Picking investments in knowledge management*. Washington, DC: Asian Development Bank.

Required Publisher's Statement

This article was first published by the Asian Development Bank (www.adb.org)

Picking Investments in Knowledge Management

by Olivier Serrat

What can be measured is not necessarily important and what is important cannot always be measured. When prioritizing investments in knowledge management, common traps lie waiting. They are delaying rewards for quick wins, using too many metrics, implementing metrics that are hard to control, and focusing on metrics that tear people away from business goals.

The Limitations of Traditional Metrics

How can investments in knowledge management be picked? This is no easy matter. What can be measured is not necessarily important and what is important cannot always be measured. Not surprisingly, despite the wide implementation of knowledge management initiatives, a systematic and comprehensive assessment tool to prioritize investments in knowledge management in terms of return on investment is not available. This owes to the difficulty of demonstrating direct linkages between investments in knowledge management and organizational performance, most of which can only be inferred, and the fact that the miscellany of possible knowledge management initiatives calls for both quantitative and qualitative approaches. This is indeed the rationale behind the Balanced Scorecard introduced by Robert Kaplan and David Norton in 1992, whose qualities make it quite useful as a knowledge management metric.



Common Traps

When prioritizing investments in knowledge management, common traps lie waiting. They are

- delaying rewards for quick wins,
- using too many metrics,
- implementing metrics that are hard to control, and
- focusing on metrics that tear people away from business goals.

Until the state of the art is better developed, it is in the final analysis recommended to consider knowledge management initiatives as a portfolio of well-balanced investments.


A Purposeful Medley of Insights

Figures 1–8 present a purposeful medley of insights that can help pick investments in knowledge management. They cover in turn

- a time management approach to full agendas that focuses on importance and urgency;
- generic features of a portfolio of knowledge management initiatives;
- ways to map knowledge management initiatives by knowledge agent, form of knowledge, and core knowledge activity;
- four broad aspects that sustain an innovative organization;
- five areas of value creation in knowledge products and services;
- ways to locate knowledge management initiatives in an options space;


- a multi-staged review process to underpin knowledge product and service development; and
 - an approach to strategic management that balances the financial perspective.
- In the spirit of learning, readers are invited to ponder the usefulness of each depending on context.

Figure 1: Eisenhower Matrix




Source: Stephen Covey. 1989. *The Seven Habits of Highly Effective People: Restoring the Character Ethic*. Simon and Schuster.
 Note: Dwight Eisenhower is the originator of the matrix.

Figure 2: Knowledge Management Investment Features




Source: Adapted from Amrit Tiwana. 2000. *The Knowledge Management Toolkit: Orchestrating IT, Strategy, and Knowledge Platforms*. Prentice Hall.

Figure 3: Mapping Knowledge Management Investments




Source: Adapted from Charles Despres and Danièle Chauvel. 2000. How to Map Knowledge Management. In *Mastering Information Management*, edited by Donald Marchand and Thomas Davenport. Prentice Hall.

Figure 4: Innovative Knowledge Product and Service Development




Source: Adapted from Simon Knox. 2002. The Boardroom Agenda: Developing the Innovative Organization. *Corporate Governance: International Journal of Business in Society*. Vol. 2, No. 1: 27-36. Emerald Group Publishing Limited.

Figure 5: Value Creation in Knowledge Products and Services




Source: Paul Iske and Willem Boersma. 2005. Connected Brains—Question and Answer Systems for Knowledge Sharing: Concepts, Implementation, and Return on Investment. *Journal of Knowledge Management*. Vol. 9, No.1: 126–145. Emerald Group Publishing Limited.

Figure 6: Value-to-Cost Ratio




Source: Adapted from Amrit Tiwana. 2000. *The Knowledge Management Toolkit: Orchestrating IT, Strategy, and Knowledge Platforms*. Prentice Hall.

Figure 7: The Knowledge Product and Service Development Process



Source: Adapted from Simon Knox. 2002. The Boardroom Agenda: Developing the Innovative Organization. *Corporate Governance: International Journal of Business in Society*. Vol. 2, No. 1, pp. 27–36. Emerald Group Publishing Limited

Figure 8: Balanced Scorecard



Source: Robert Kaplan and David Norton. 1992. The Balanced Scorecard: Measures that Drive Performance. *Harvard Business Review*. January–February: 71–80.

Further Reading

ADB. 2008. *Auditing the Lessons Architecture*. Manila. Available: www.adb.org/documents/studies/auditing-lessons-architecture/IN371-07.asp

_____. 2007. *Learning Lessons in ADB*. Manila. Available: www.adb.org/documents/reports/learning-lessons-ADB/strategic-framework-2007-2009.asp

For further information

Contact Olivier Serrat, Head of the Knowledge Management Center, Regional and Sustainable Development Department, Asian Development Bank (oserrat@adb.org).

Asian Development Bank

ADB, based in Manila, is dedicated to reducing poverty in the Asia and Pacific region through inclusive economic growth, environmentally sustainable growth, and regional integration. Established in 1966, it is owned by 67 members—48 from the region. In 2007, it approved \$10.1 billion of loans, \$673 million of grant projects, and technical assistance amounting to \$243 million.

Knowledge Solutions are handy, quick reference guides to tools, methods, and approaches that propel development forward and enhance its effects. They are offered as resources to ADB staff. They may also appeal to the development community and people having interest in knowledge and learning.

The views expressed in this publication are those of the author and do not necessarily reflect the views and policies of the Asian Development Bank (ADB) or its Board of Governors or the governments they represent. ADB encourages printing or copying information exclusively for personal and noncommercial use with proper acknowledgment of ADB. Users are restricted from reselling, redistributing, or creating derivative works for commercial purposes without the express, written consent of ADB.

Asian Development Bank
6 ADB Avenue, Mandaluyong City
1550 Metro Manila, Philippines
Tel +63 2 632 4444
Fax +63 2 636 2444
knowledge@adb.org
www.adb.org/knowledgesolutions